

**From:** [Martini, Jay](#)  
**To:** [Larry Crist](#); [Betsy Herrmann](#); [Laura Romin](#)  
**Subject:** Fwd: GRSG-Acres of Priority and General  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 06, 2015 7:30:08 AM

---

FYI, This came up on yesterday's call with the RO, so I asked Quincy for the numbers. As usual he did a great job of providing lots of information.

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** **Bahr, Quincy** <[qfbahr@blm.gov](mailto:qfbahr@blm.gov)>  
**Date:** Mon, Jan 5, 2015 at 5:12 PM  
**Subject:** Re: GRSG-Acres of Priority and General  
**To:** "Martini, Jay" <[jay\\_martini@fws.gov](mailto:jay_martini@fws.gov)>  
**Cc:** Skye Sieber <[ssieber@blm.gov](mailto:ssieber@blm.gov)>, Renee Chi <[rchi@blm.gov](mailto:rchi@blm.gov)>

This is as of the July proposed plan PHMA/GHMA alignment:

- PHMA = 5,544,000 (BLM/FS surface = 2,812,900 - 51% of PHMA)
- GHMA = 1,795,900 (BLM/FS surface = 538,500 - 30% of GHMA)

The Carbon Population Area is broken out as follows:

- Total acres of occupied habitat = 501,100 (PHMA- 375,200; GHMA- 125,900)
  - Anthro (two western FS polygons of Anthro Mountain) = 50,700 (PHMA- 50,700; GHMA- 0) -  
42,400 of the entire area is BLM/FS surface; no additional acres have federal minerals
  - Gasco (four eastern polygons of Anthro Mountain) = 34,200 (PHMA- 0; GHMA- 34,200) -  
29,500 of the entire area is BLM/FS surface; an additional 980 have federal minerals
  - Tavaputs = 114,200 (PHMA- 114,200; GHMA- 0) -  
65,800 of the entire area is BLM/FS surface; an additional 17,500 have federal minerals
  - Emma Park = 165,600 (PHMA- 165,600; GHMA- 0) -  
14,300 of the entire area is BLM/FS surface; an additional 98,400 have federal minerals
  - East of Emma = 79,500 (PHMA- 9,900; GHMA- 69,600) -  
7,700 of the entire area is BLM/FS surface; an additional 2,200 have federal minerals
  - Gordon Creek = 53,100 (PHMA- 31,000; GHMA- 22,200) -  
15,000 of the entire area is BLM/FS surface; an additional 14,100 have federal minerals

As for the leks, its correct, except the last percentage you note on private. There are 148 on private (47% of statewide occupied leks), with 50 on some sort of state lands (16%).

As an FYI, of the 6 occupied leks in the West Tavaputs area, only 2 are on BLM, and one of those has not been active for 7 years. The remainder are located on private surface and

minerals.

Hope this helps.

Q

On Mon, Jan 5, 2015 at 4:04 PM, Martini, Jay <[jay\\_martini@fws.gov](mailto:jay_martini@fws.gov)> wrote:

Hi Quincy

What is the acreage of General and Priority habitat statewide? Can you parcel these out if Anthro and West Tav goes general or just West Tav goes general? I know there are various options on the table that have been discussed, but lets try to figure out what it would have been under the original proposal. Make sense?

Also here is my quick and dirty write up of our leks discussion today. Please let me know if I messed it up.

Statewide there are 317 occupied leks:

Of those the BLM, FS and NPS have surface control of 119 leks (95 BLM, 23 FS, and 1 NPS)

198 leks out of the 317 are either on Private, DWR or SITLA Lands, However the BLM administers minerals on 62 of these leks.

This breaks down to about 62.4% of leks being located on private surface.

Thanks

--

Jay Martini  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
Utah Ecological Services Field Office  
2369 W. Orton Circle  
West Valley City, Utah 84119  
ph: 801-975-3330, ext. 144

--

Quincy Bahr  
Project Manager – Greater Sage-Grouse LUP Amendments, Utah Sub-Region  
Planning and Environmental Coordinator – BLM, Utah State Office  
440 West 200 South, Suite 500  
Salt Lake City, UT 84101-1345  
801-539-4122 (office)  
801-518-1479 (cell)  
[qfbahr@blm.gov](mailto:qfbahr@blm.gov)

--

Jay Martini  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
Utah Ecological Services Field Office  
2369 W. Orton Circle  
West Valley City, Utah 84119  
ph: 801-975-3330, ext. 144